

Looking Beneath the Surface of  
the Scandal.

The testimony yesterday brought up Mr. Bradshaw, a neighbor of the fittons; Mr. West, who cited Filton before Plymouth church, and Mr. Woodruff, Monitor's hale and senior partner, a person of the highest consideration in Brookline. His testimony was suspended almost at the outset, the defence earnestly resisting it.

▲▲ A bald old man in the centre of the court excited great attention by wearing a cloth glove on his head, which made him look, as he nodded to and fro, like a sleepy school globe serving as a guide post.

Mr. Homer E. Nelson, a pretty woman with an expression slightly resembling Clara Louise Kellogg,

The Honor—No. The witness has been here for six eight or ten days, and has been tortured by both sides; therefore I will not admonish him.

**THE JUDGE AGENTS THE WITNESS.**

Three or six times during this cross-examination Moulton got a round of applause and laughter to Tracy's anger. Highly licensed and seeking to make Moulton quit the stand with a rebuke, Tracy appealed to the Judge, as above, with deep feeling. The Judge's retort made a sensation in the court room. The audience were recalled to the recollection of the witness's dead mother and family afflictions in the midst of this almost unequalled cross-examination, eleven days long in fact, and to the undoubted fact that his troubles has obtained him no respite nor corresponding courtesy from this particular lawyer. Mr. Evans had been softer toward him. The Judge's shout, delivered with power, "I will not admonish him;

the period of the events of the scumda, only by the husband's growing heterodoxy. She gave, besides, a pretty picture of Mrs. Tuton in happier days:—"Most delicate and refined and sweet minded in every way; none could know her and not love her." This is an answer to critics and writers who do not think Mrs. Tuton pretty enough to do any damage in life.

Mrs. Bradshaw is a middle-aged lady, rather plain, of the old fashioned, neighborly, devout sort, with hair a little changing, no particular

Q. The question was objected to and withdrawn.

Q. What occurred between you and Mr. Beecher, in anything, in regard to that scandal? A. Mr. Beecher asked to be appointed as a committee of use to confer with Mr. Titton in regard to the scandal.

Q. What action was taken in regard to it? A. The Examining Committee appointed Mr. Beecher as such committee.

Q. Were any instructions given to the committee after the appointment? If so, what were they? A. Objected to.

Q. Witnesses—they are not in writing; they are expressed as fully as possible in the report of the committee.

WITNESSES: The charges were afterward attended. The committee returned them to me, and requested me to suggest a further course. Another paper being brought to witness, he said it contained the amended charge down to a certain point. That was the whole of the charges as they were actually presented; those were accepted as that

Q. Now say with certainty whether Mr. Beecher gave a report to the committee at all? A. I am certain; I was present in the room at the time myself and heard him make the report.

Q. Was not this second report a statement at the word hand to come from Mr. Beecher? A. I think so.

Q. Now, when was this report made by Mr. Beecher which you describe? A. It was made in the early part of November or December, and I made no memorandum at the time and depended entirely on my memory; my memory would be refreshed by looking at the records of the meeting.

Q. Where was produced the minutes of the Plymouth church Board of Deacons, and showed it to be not.